WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

<u>Present-</u> The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman) & The Hon'ble Dr.Subesh Kumar Das (Administrative Member)

Case No. OA - 135 OF 2020

MD. SHAMIM

Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTHERS.

			T
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with	signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2		of parties when necessary
1			3
03	For the Applicant :	Mr.S.K.Nandi	
14.12.2020		Advocate	
	For the Respondent No. 1 and 2:	Mr.G.P.Banerjee	
		Advocate	
	For the Respondent No. 3 and 4:	Mr.M.N.Roy	
		Advocate	
	Affidavit of service filed	today be kept on	
		J	
	record.		
	In this application,	Md.Shamim, the	
	applicant, has prayed for several	reliefs, the relevant	
	portion of which is as under:-		
	(a) An order directir	ig the respondent no.	
	2 that is the Director (General, West Bengal	
	Fire and Emergency S	ervices to withdraw.	
	cancel and rescind in	nis impugned order	
	dated 06.05.2019 issue	d under Memo No.	
	WBFES/3455/L/10/2014	and issue an	
	appointment letter in fa		
	to the post of Fire Engine	e Operator cum driver	
	under the respondent aut	thorities.	

Form No

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	3
(b) An order to auash and set aside the	
•	
, ,	
,	
,	
Intelligence Branch vide Memo No. 741/S-61-	
2011/SA-II/VR dated 31.03.2013	
communicated that the applicant is found to be	
unsuitable on the alleged ground of error	
except in police verification roll as regards the	
period of study in the schools of the applicant.	
(c) An order directino the respondent	
,	
, ,	
, , , ,	
ç ,	
	(b) An order to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 06.05.2019 passed by the Director General, West Bengal Fire and Emergency Services and further direct the respondent no. 2 to issue an appointment letter in respect of the post of Fire Engine Operator – cum- Driver under West Bengal Fire and emergency Services by setting aside and sticking of the purported report of the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Intelligence Branch vide Memo No. 741/S-61-2011/SA-II/VR dated 31.03.2013 communicated that the applicant is found to be unsuitable on the alleged ground of error except in police verification roll as regards the

Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.

Case No		
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary
	consequential benefits including seniority in	
	terms of the merit list.	
	It appears that earlier the applicant had moved	
	an application being OA-340 of 2014 (Md.Shamim Vs.	
	The State of West Bengal and Others) which was	
	disposed of on 27th February, 2019 by passing an	
	order	
	"directing the Director General of Fire	
	and Emergency Services, Government of West	
	Bengal - the respondent no. 2 to consider the	
	matter regarding appointing the applicant by	
	passing a reasoned order to be communicated	
	to the parties within sixteen weeks from the	
	date of obtaining a copy of this order after	
	giving an opportunity of hearing and after	
	verifying the records and after considering the	
	documents which may be furnished by the	
	applicant. It is made clear that we have not	
	gone to the merits of the matter and all points	
	are kept open to be dealt with by the	
	respondent no. 2"	
	Pursuant to the said order, we find the	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.

n with date signature en necessary
en necessary

Order of the Tribunal with signature

2

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Office action with date

and dated signature

Case No.

Serial No. and

Date of order.

1

	of parties when necess. 3
Mr.G.P.Banerjee, learned advocate appearing	ı
on behalf of the respondent no. 1 and 2 submits since	1
the applicant had given wrong information regarding	1
his enrolment in the school, which has been admitted	

his enrolment in the school, which has been admitted in paragraph 6 (h) of the application, the applicant was found unsuitable. It is also evident from the memo. dated 31st January, 2013, which was also the subject matter in the earlier original application filed by the applicant, no order may be passed.

Mr.M.N.Roy, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 3 and 4 referring to the reply filed by the state respondent in earlier original application submits as the memo. dated 31st January, 2013, which shows that he was found unsuitable, and of which the original applicant was aware of and cannot be now reopened, as it would amount to review of the earlier order passed in OA-340 of 2014, no order be passed.

In reply, Mr.Nandi referring to the rejoinder, particularly paragraph 4 thereof filed by the applicant

Form No.	
FOLIII INO.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.

Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
in the original application, being OA-340 of 2019,	3
submits that since it was stated therein that no specific	
reason was recorded for rendering him unsuitable	
appropriate order may be passed.	
Heard learned advocates for the parties. That	
the applicant was found "unsuitable" is evident from	
the copies of the reply and rejoinder filed in original	
application being no. OA-340 of 2014 annexed to this	
application. Therein the applicant did not challenge	
the intimation dated 1st January, 2013 issued by the	
Assistant Secretary to the Government of West Bengal	
to the Additional Director General of Police,	
Intelligence Branch, West Bengal, appearing at page 50	
of the application which was part of the reply filed by	
the respondents intimating the petitioner was	
"unsuitable". Though the memo. dated 31st January,	
2013 was part of the record it was not questioned. It is	
also evident from the present application that the	
petitioner in paragraph 6 (h) of the application has	
admitted that due to error wrong information was	
given by the applicant in the verification roll.	
Therefore there is no dispute that the order passed in	
	in the original application, being OA-340 of 2019, submits that since it was stated therein that no specific reason was recorded for rendering him unsuitable appropriate order may be passed. Heard learned advocates for the parties. That the applicant was found "unsuitable" is evident from the copies of the reply and rejoinder filed in original application being no. OA-340 of 2014 annexed to this application. Therein the applicant did not challenge the intimation dated 1st January, 2013 issued by the Assistant Secretary to the Government of West Bengal to the Additional Director General of Police, Intelligence Branch, West Bengal, appearing at page 50 of the application which was part of the reply filed by the respondents intimating the petitioner was "unsuitable". Though the memo. dated 31st January, 2013 was part of the record it was not questioned. It is also evident from the present application that the petitioner in paragraph 6 (h) of the application was admitted that due to error wrong information was given by the applicant in the verification roll.

Form No.	
	Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	OA-340 of 2014 on 27th February, 2019 has become	
	final. Any order passed on the present application now	
	would amount to reopening the entire issue already	
	settled by order dated 27th February, 2019 passed in	
	Oa-340 of 2014. Therefore, no order is passed on the	
	application. The application is disposed of.	
	Since for circumstances beyond control the	
	Registry is unable to furnish plain copies of this order	
	to the learned advocates for the parties, the Registry is	
	directed to upload this order on the website of the	
	Tribunal forthwith and parties are directed to act on	
	the copies of the order downloaded from the website.	
	(S.K.DAS) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
BLR		